MARK J. BENNETT

2672

Attorney General of Hawaii

DENNIS K. FERM

2643

JOHN M. CREGOR, JR.

3521

Deputy Attorneys General

Department of the Attorney

General, State of Hawaii

425 Queen Street

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Telephone: (808) 586-1494 Facsimile: (808) 586-1369

Email: John.M.Cregor@hawaii.gov

Attorney for Defendants

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

ANTHONY NESBIT,

Plaintiff,

VS.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY, STATE OF HAWAI'I, et. al.

Defendants.

WILLIAM KOTIS,

Plaintiff,

VS.

STATE OF HAWAII DEPT. OF PUBLIC SAFETY, et. al.

Defendants.

CIVIL NO. 03-00455 SOM-KSC (Consolidated)

DEFENDANTS DAVID APAO AND NOLAN ESPINDA'S RESPONSES TO THE PLAINTIFFS' FIRST REQUEST FOR ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANTS

CIVIL NO. 04-00167 SOM-KSC (Consolidated)

Filed 04/11/2006

DEFENDANTS DAVID APAO AND NOLAN ESPINDA'S RESPONSES TO THE PLAINTIFFS' FIRST REQUEST FOR ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANTS

COME NOW DEFENDANTS DAVID APAO and NOLAN ESPINDA, by and through their attorneys, Mark J. Bennett, Attorney General of Hawaii, and Dennis K. Ferm and John M. Cregor, Jr., Deputy Attorneys General, respond to PLAINTIFFS' FIRST REQUEST FOR ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANTS as modified and limited by United States Magistrate Judge Kevin S.C. Chang's Order of December 7, 2005, and attaches these answers hereto. Answers from the remaining defendants will be transmitted as they are received by counsel.

> DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, March 31, 2006.

> > ÍOHN M∠CRÉGOR/, JR.

Deputy Actorney General Attorney for Defendants DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY, STATE OF HAWAII, JAMES L. PROPOTNICK, FRANK LOPEZ, EDWIN SHIMODA, NOLAN ESPINDA, ERIC TANAKA, RANDY ASHER, SGT. SAIA FINAU, CINDA SANDIN, MONICA LIRTZ, CHERYL ZEMBIK, LYLE KANOA, G.

SHERIDAN, and DAVID APAO

1.

Please state name, position and duties.

RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES FROM **DEFENDANT DAVID APAO**

	Answer:
	David Apao. Sergeant. Monitor control of inmates.
2.	Were you trained in regards to prison gang management and control what training or instructions did you have concerning policy and procedures concerning prison gang members. When were you trained what was involved and how long was the training or instruction.
	Answer:
	No
3.	Were you specifically trained or instructed in regards to the challenged housing practice of separating prison gangs form rival prison gangs and housing them in certain areas of Halawa prison (Quads) to what extent did you understand that this housing practice could be applied (in other words was it allowed to house a regular inmate (non-gang) member in with separated prison gang members.
	Answer:
	No

How long has the practice of separating rival prison gangs been in effect and 4. what are the reasons for separating the rival prison gangs?

Answer:

Lessen violence with inmates. Not sure how long been in effect.

5. Did you know that a regular inmate (non-gang member) could be housed with separated prison gang members (if answer is Yes what do you think about this practice?)

Answer:

Yes, I know it can be.

6. What is a Quad (how many inmates in a Quad) (about how many if exact number is not known).

Answer:

A Quad is an open area with cells. Exact numbers of cells depends on what facility and what Quad.

7. How many separated prison gang members were in a Quad at one time (about how many).

Answer:

Don't know.

How many separated prison gang members were allowed in a Quad. 8.

Answer:

Don't know.

9. What Quads in Halawa Medium and High Security Facility did separated prison gangs occupy?

Answer:

Not sure.

10. Since rival gangs were separated why didn't they separate regular inmates (non-gang) from separated prison gang members? Do you think they should have been separated (why or why not)?

Answer:

Because not required.

11. Do you know of any regular inmates that were victimized when housed with separated prison gang members (describe).

Answer:

Not to my knowledge.

12. What are the policies or practices concerning the housing of a regular inmate with separated prison gangs?

Answer:

It's normal.

How many regular inmates (non-gang) requested protective custody for the 13. last 5 years because they were beaten, threatened, or extorted by separated prison gang members. (some – a lot – plenty – a whole lot)?

Answer:

I believe there were some but don't know how many.

14. How many Grievances for the last 5 years did you receive or know of that complained of prison gang members threatening, extorting, or assaulting inmates (some - a lot - plenty - a whole lot)?

Answer:

I don't have access to Grievances.

15. Does the separation of rival prison gang members exist in both Medium and High Security Facilities? Who authorizes? This when and how long did this exist?

Answer:

Yes. I'm not sure of the authorized process.

Who do you know of including yourself if involved specifically authorized, 16. allowed, approved of the practice of separating rival prison gangs and then housing a regular inmate in with them. When did you come to know this?

Answer:

To my knowledge it was authorized by administration.

When was the prison gang intelligence unit and task force created? What is 17. there function? What do they accomplish? Who is in charge? And how many make up the task force?

Answer:

Who's decision was it to create the gang task force intelligence unit. Why 18. was it created or why do you think it was created, when was it created?

Answer:

Are prison gangs a threat and danger to public order and safety (if Yes why 19. if no why).

Answer:

Are prison gangs a threat and danger to regular inmates (if Yes why if no 20. why).

Answer:

About the same with all inmates.

What kind of screening procedures and classification procedures were used 21. to determine compatibility between regular inmates (non-gang members) and separated prison gang members that they were ordered to be housed with them.

Answer:

None to my knowledge but we did respond to complaints.

22. Do you know of any abuses in the housing practice such as guards putting a regular inmate or gang member in with separated prison gang members so he will be beaten (names need not be mentioned but may be) describe as best you can.

Answer:

No way.

23. What is the nature and character of prison gangs? What is there purpose, goals, agenda?

Answer:

Do you know what the right to safe custody policy says. What do you think 24. it means.

Answer:

We have obligation to keep inmates safe from foreseeable harm.

Are you aware that you have a duty to protect prisoners from a foreseeable 25. harm. Explain what your understanding of this is?

Answer:

Yes.

Are prison gangs dangerous and who are they a danger to? Please describe. 26.

Answer:

If there is danger it is more between gang members.

Are prison gangs a threat to prison security? If Yes why? If no why? 27.

Answer:

Yes, they may organize coming into facility and fight with gang members.

28. Do you think that housing a regular inmate (non-gang) with 15 separated prison gang members is dangerous to that regular inmate? If Yes why? If no why?

Answer:

Not necessarily.

- 29. [missing]
- How many inmates have been injured in gang violence that you know of? 30. Are there any specific incidents that come to mind.

Answer:

I don't know of any.

RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES FROM DEFENDANT NOLAN ESPINDA

1. Please state name, position and duties.

Answer:

Nolan Espinda, Warden.

Duties include administration of large correctional program for the State of Hawaii.

2. Were you trained in regards to prison gang management and control what training or instructions did you have concerning policy and procedures concerning prison gang members. When were you trained what was involved and how long was the training or instruction.

Answer:

2000 - 2002, No.

3. Were you specifically trained or instructed in regards to the challenged housing practice of separating prison gangs form rival prison gangs and housing them in certain areas of Halawa prison (Quads) to what extent did you understand that this housing practice could be applied (in other words was it allowed to house a regular inmate (non-gang) member in with separated prison gang members.

Answer:

I was not trained. I knew that there was no prohibition to housing gang and non-gang members together.

How long has the practice of separating rival prison gangs been in effect and 4. what are the reasons for separating the rival prison gangs?

Answer:

The whole period of 2000 though 2002. Gangs are separated to reduce gang related activity.

Did you know that a regular inmate (non-gang member) could be housed 5. with separated prison gang members (if answer is Yes what do you think about this practice?)

Answer:

Yes. Housing gang and non-gang members within individual units is a necessary practice.

What is a Quad (how many inmates in a Quad) (about how many if exact 6. number is not known).

Answer:

A segment of a block or module. The number may vary.

How many separated prison gang members were in a Quad at one time 7. (about how many).

Answer:

Unknown.

How many separated prison gang members were allowed in a Quad. 8.

Answer:

I do not know of any set limit.

What Quads in Halawa Medium and High Security Facility did separated 9. prison gangs occupy?

Answer:

All.

Since rival gangs were separated why didn't they separate regular inmates 10. (non-gang) from separated prison gang members? Do you think they should have been separated (why or why not)?

Answer:

There is no need to separate gang members from non-gang members.

Do you know of any regular inmates that were victimized when housed with 11. separated prison gang members (describe).

Answer:

I do not recall 2000 to 2002.

What are the policies or practices concerning the housing of a regular inmate 12. with separated prison gangs?

Answer:

See #10.

How many regular inmates (non-gang) requested protective custody for the 13. last 5 years because they were beaten, threatened, or extorted by separated prison gang members. (some – a lot – plenty – a whole lot)?

Answer:

Some.

14. How many Grievances for the last 5 years did you receive or know of that complained of prison gang members threatening, extorting, or assaulting inmates (some -a lot -plenty -a whole lot)?

Answer:

Some.

Does the separation of rival prison gang members exist in both Medium and 15. High Security Facilities? Who authorizes? This when and how long did this exist?

Answer:

Yes. The facility authorizes the separation of rival prison gang members at both HMSF and HSNF. All of 2000 – 2002.

Who do you know of including yourself if involved specifically authorized, 16. allowed, approved of the practice of separating rival prison gangs and then housing a regular inmate in with them. When did you come to know this?

Answer:

HCF Administration. Warden, Deputy Wardens (2), Chief of Security, Captains (5), CS II's (2), CS I's (5).

All of 2000 - 2002.

When was the prison gang intelligence unit and task force created? What is 17. there function? What do they accomplish? Who is in charge? And how many make up the task force?

Answer:

Who's decision was it to create the gang task force intelligence unit. Why 18. was it created or why do you think it was created, when was it created?

Answer:

Are prison gangs a threat and danger to public order and safety (if Yes why 19. if no why).

Answer:

Are prison gangs a threat and danger to regular inmates (if Yes why if no 20. why).

Answer:

Not especially.

What kind of screening procedures and classification procedures were used 21. to determine compatibility between regular inmates (non-gang members) and separated prison gang members that they were ordered to be housed with them.

Answer:

Housing by classification is the PRIMARY determining factor in the housing of inmates. Concerns raised, if any, are considered individually.

Do you know of any abuses in the housing practice such as guards putting a 22. regular inmate or gang member in with separated prison gang members so he will be beaten (names need not be mentioned but may be) describe as best you can.

Answer:

No.

What is the nature and character of prison gangs? What is there purpose, 23. goals, agenda?

Answer:

Do you know what the right to safe custody policy says. What do you think 24. it means.

Answer:

Yes. Management shall take all reasonable steps to ensure safety of inmates.

Are you aware that you have a duty to protect prisoners from a foreseeable 25. harm. Explain what your understanding of this is?

Answer:

Yes. My understanding is as stated, protection from foreseeable harm.

Are prison gangs dangerous and who are they a danger to? Please describe. 26.

Answer:

Yes, to other gang members. Gang members identify and compete with rival gang members.

Are prison gangs a threat to prison security? If Yes why? If no why? 27.

Answer:

Yes. Competition against gang members often manifests itself in security threatening activities.

28. Do you think that housing a regular inmate (non-gang) with 15 separated prison gang members is dangerous to that regular inmate? If Yes why? If no why?

Answer:

The actual details would need to be examined for a definitive answer to be determined. As stated, it is not necessarily automatically dangerous for anyone.

- 29. [missing]
- 30. How many inmates have been injured in gang violence that you know of? Are there any specific incidents that come to mind.

Answer:

Unknown.

STATE OF HAWAI'I)	
CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU)	SS.

I, DAVID APAO, being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and says that the answers to the foregoing responses to request for answers to interrogatories are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief and that I have the requisite knowledge and authority to make the foregoing responses.

DAVID APAO

200201100	u anu swom to be	siole me mis
31st	day of	<u>ch</u> , 2006
\circ		
Han	an liller	 , State of Hawai'i
Signature	of Notary Public	State of Hawai'i
or Primerin of	yr roury-r dono.	, blace of flawar i
Marylyn	A. Goo	
Printed or	Typed Name of	Notary Public
		0/07/00
My comm	ission expires: _	2/27/08

STATE OF HAWAI'I)	00
CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU)	SS.

I, NOLAN ESPINDA, being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and says that the answers to the foregoing responses to request for answers to interrogatories are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief and that I have the requisite knowledge and authority to make the foregoing responses.

NOLAN ESPINDA

and sworn	to before	me this
day of	March	, 2006
•		
lon Whit		
f Notary Pu	blic, Stat	e of Hawai'i
A. Goo		
Typed Name	of Nota	ry Public
ssion expire	s: <u>2/2</u>	7/08
	day of f Notary Pu Goo Typed Name	Гуреd Name of Nota

STATE OF HAWAI'I)	
-)	SS.
CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU)	

I, Cinda Sandin being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and says that the answers to the foregoing responses to request for answers to interrogatories are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief and that I have the requisite knowledge and authority to make the foregoing responses.

Cinda Sandin

Subscribed	and sworn to	o before me	tnis
<u> 31st</u>	_ day of1	farch	, 2006
Quin.). ~ (Ilm		
Signature b	yn UM Motary Put	olic, State of	Hawai'i
Marylyn	A. Goo		
Printed or	Typed Name	of Notary P	ublic
My commis	ssion expires	: 2/27/0)8

L.S.

STATE OF HAWAI'I)	
)	SS.
CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU)	

I, Monica Lortz being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and says that the answers to the foregoing responses to request for answers to interrogatories are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief and that I have the requisite knowledge and authority to make the foregoing responses.

31st	day of	March	, 2000	5
Ran	lnlyr			
Signature	of Notary Pu	blic, Sta	ite of Hawai	ĺ
Marylyn	A. Goo			
Printed or	Typed Name	e of Not	ary Public	
My comm	nission expire	s:2	/27/08	

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

STATE OF HAWAI'I) SS. CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU)
I, <u>Cheryl P. Zembik</u> , being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and says that the answers to the foregoing responses to request for answers to interrogatories are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief and that I have the requisite knowledge and authority to make the foregoing responses.
CHERYL P. ZEMBIN
Subscribed and sworn to before me this
My commission expires: 2/27/08

STATE OF HAWAI'I)	SS.
CITY & COUNTY OF HONOLULU)	
I, <u>Eric Tanaka</u> , being	first duly sworn on oath, deposes and
says that the answers to the foregoing respons	ses to request for answers to
interrogatories are true and accurate to the bes	st of my knowledge and belief and
that I have the requisite knowledge and autho	rity to make the foregoing responses.
Grand	ni Davilo
ERIC T	'ANAKA
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	
Signature of Notary Public, State of Hawai'i	
Marylyn A. Goo Printed or Typed Name of Notary Public	
My commission expires: 2/27/08	